



How to close the gap in cancer care?

- The cancer care gap is not inevitable in terms of accessing prevention, treatment and support services as well as reliable information about cancer.
- Our systems can be reimagined, a person's situation can be improved, their knowledge about cancer can be increased and their access to services made easier.
- Governments can act based on their national needs and resources. **Inequity can be reduced by:**
 - addressing through policy and programmes some of the social and economic factors that can negatively affect people's health;
 - strengthening primary health care delivered in communities and building **culturally competent healthcare**;
 - equipping healthcare professionals with skills and knowledge about how prejudices, discrimination, a patient's life situation and other social determinants fuel inequity and adversely affect cancer care;
 - educating the public about cancer prevention in a way that recognises and addresses the differences in the comprehension and understanding of the risk factors ;
 - tracking **the burden of cancer nationally** to shape investments more effectively;
 - implementing country-specific **cancer control plans** that address each country's unique needs and **based on its resources**;
 - increasing the resources – meaning both money and people – dedicated to cancer research.



Improving equity in cancer care

- **Progress can take many forms:**
 - o a new partnership for delivering better screening services to rural communities
 - o a neighbourhood banding together to provide transport to cancer treatment for a fellow resident
 - o new technology that lowers the cost of access or makes it easier to bring the service to hard-to-reach populations
- **In Nigeria**, the Sebecly Cancer Care and Support Centre **helped patients navigate and access earlier cancer diagnosis and treatment** with the launch of the digital tool Oncopadi.
- **In Canada**, several organisations collaborated across sectors on **a series of measures aimed at closing the gap** in healthcare for indigenous populations.
- **For advanced breast cancer**, **the ABC/mBC communities toolkit** showcases dozens of initiatives that address the critical unmet need for hard-to-reach populations.
- **Around the world**, **governments raised USD 8.817 billion in 2021 for Gavi**, a global health partnership, to provide 84 million girls in low-resourced regions with HPV vaccinations to protect them from **cervical cancer**.

For more examples of how organisations and governments around the world are working to close the gap in cancer care, see the report by UICC: “The Social Determinants of Health and Cancer”.



Equity is cost effective and a net benefit to public health

- An average of USD 0.40 per person per year is needed in low-income settings and USD 0.20 per person per year in lower-middle-income countries to finance cervical cancer elimination.
- Every dollar invested over the next 30 years in cervical cancer control interventions is estimated to return USD 26.00 thanks to a higher participation of women in the workforce and the benefits of improved health for women on families, communities and societies.
- Scaling up diagnosis and treatment for childhood cancer offers a 3-to-1 return on investment
- Investing in childhood cancer care could prevent at least 6 million deaths – more than half of the total number of deaths otherwise projected. Such investment would also yield, over a span of 30 years (2020-2050), more than USD 2.5 trillion in productivity gains – four times more than the cumulative treatment costs of \$594 billion, producing a net benefit of \$1986 billion, or nearly \$2 trillion in net economic benefits.
- It is estimated that improving screening, treatment and quality of care for 11 cancers globally would produce nearly USD 3 trillion in lifetime economic benefits at a cost of USD 233 billion over ten years (2020-2030). A return of over 12 dollars per dollar invested.